

1 JEFF S. WESTERMAN (94559)  
jwesterman@jswlegal.com  
2 WESTERMAN LAW CORP.  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100  
3 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel: (310) 698-7450  
4 Fax: (310) 201-9160

5 SUSAN G. KUPFER (141724)  
skupfer@glancylaw.com  
6 GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP  
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 760  
7 San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 972-8160  
8 Fax: (415) 972-8166

9 MARC M. SELTZER (54534)  
mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com  
10 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950  
11 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel: (310) 789-3100  
12 Fax: (310) 789-3150

13  
14 Plaintiffs' Interim Class Counsel

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **WESTERN DIVISION**

18 IN RE KOREAN AIR LINES CO., LTD.  
19 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1891

Master File No. CV 07-05107 SJO  
(AGRx)

20  
21 This Document Relates To:

22 ALL ACTIONS

**ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN  
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES  
AND REIMBURSEMENT OF  
EXPENSES**

Date December 2, 2013  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Place: Courtroom of the  
Hon. S. James Otero

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h), 54(d), and 52(a), plaintiffs have filed  
28 Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and

1 Reimbursement of Expenses (the “Motion”). The Motion duly came on for  
2 hearing on December 2, 2013. Having reviewed the papers filed in connection  
3 with the Motion, and all of the papers, pleadings and files in this litigation, and  
4 good cause appearing therefore,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

6 Plaintiffs’ application for an award of attorneys’ fees comprised of  
7 \$12,500,000, an amount equal to 25% of the \$50,000,000 cash portion of the  
8 Settlement Funds, and 25% of the coupon portion of the Settlement Funds  
9 established pursuant to the settlements with defendants Asiana Airlines, Inc. and  
10 Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. is fair, appropriate and reasonable, and the Court  
11 awards these amounts, plus \$574,832.08 in costs and expenses. In doing so, the  
12 Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

13 1. The capitalized terms used in this Order have the same definition as  
14 used in the Stipulation of Settlement Between Class Plaintiffs and Defendant  
15 Asiana Airlines, Inc., dated as of July 30, 2010, and filed on October 9, 2010 (Doc.  
16 422-2), and the Stipulation of Settlement Between Class Plaintiffs and Defendant  
17 Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd, dated as of June 11, 2013, and filed on July 3, 2013  
18 (Doc. 596-2).

19 2. The Class was provided with due and adequate notice, in compliance  
20 with the requirements of constitutional due process and Rule 23 of the Federal  
21 Rules and Civil Procedure, pursuant to the Class notice program approved by the  
22 Court in its Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of  
23 Settlement Between Plaintiffs and Defendant Korea Air Lines Co., Ltd.  
24 (“Preliminary Settlement Order”) (Doc. 608), filed on July 31, 2013, of the Motion  
25 and that plaintiffs’ counsel intended to apply for an award of attorneys’ fees in an  
26 amount not to exceed 25% of the cost and coupon portions of the settlements and  
27 for costs and expenses incurred during the prosecution of this litigation  
28

1           3.     The settlements confer substantial benefits on the Class.

2           4.     The requested attorneys' fees are fair, appropriate and reasonable  
3 whether expressed as a percentage of the cash and coupon components of the  
4 settlements or by reference to the total attorneys' fee lodestar reported by  
5 Settlement Class Counsel. The use of the percentage-of-the-fund method in  
6 common-fund cases is the prevailing practice in the Ninth Circuit for awarding  
7 attorneys' fees and permits the Court to focus on a showing that a fund conferring  
8 benefits on a class was created through the efforts of plaintiffs' counsel. *See, e.g.,*  
9 *Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp.*, 290 F.3d 1043, 1047 (9th Cir. 2002); *Six (6) Mexican*  
10 *Workers v. Arizona Citrus Growers*, 904 F.2d 1301, 1311 (9th Cir. 1990).

11           5.     The Court further finds and concludes that an award of 25% of the  
12 coupon portion of the Settlement Funds as attorneys' fees comports with the Class  
13 Action Fairness Act. In awarding 25% of the coupons provided by the settlements,  
14 the Court took into account the value of the coupons to be redeemed in light of the  
15 characteristics of the coupons and other factors. Those characteristics and factors  
16 include (a) the freely tradable nature of the coupons, which can be sold for cash  
17 without the need to purchase any goods or services, (b) the lengthy three-year term  
18 of the coupons, (c) the six-month extension of their term for any *cy pres*  
19 distribution, (d) the absence of blackout dates, (e) the fact that no fees will be  
20 charged by the defendant airlines for the redemption of the coupons, (f) the fact  
21 that an experienced coupon administrator has been appointed by the Court to make  
22 a market to facilitate the trading and exchange of the coupons, (g) the fact that  
23 Class members include international travelers who travel often between the U.S.  
24 and Korea, (h) the fact that nearly 70,000 claims have already been submitted by  
25 Class members and the deadline for submitting claims has not yet occurred, (i) and  
26 the fact that plaintiffs' counsel will be paid in the same coupons as the Class for  
27 the portion of the settlements attributable to the coupons. The Court finds and  
28

1 concludes that payment to plaintiffs' counsel in coupons is a fair and reasonable  
2 way to compensate plaintiffs' counsel for the portion of the settlements attributable  
3 to coupons and that doing so directly ties the compensation to plaintiffs' counsel  
4 for obtaining the coupon portion of the settlements to the value of the coupons  
5 made available to the Class.

6 6. The Court finds and concludes that the fees requested are also fully  
7 justified by (a) the results achieved by the settlements; (b) the substantial risks and  
8 complexity of the litigation; (c) the contingent nature of the fee and the financial  
9 burden carried by plaintiffs' counsel; (d) the length of time that the litigation has  
10 been pending; (e) awards made in similar cases; (f) percentages in standard  
11 contingency-fee agreements in similar individual cases; (g) the non-monetary  
12 benefits obtained in the settlements; (h) the reaction of the Class; and (i) the work  
13 and labor of plaintiffs' counsel and the attorneys' fee lodestar incurred in  
14 prosecuting this litigation.

15 7. The Court finds that the settlements were reached following extensive,  
16 arm's-length negotiations between the parties, and that the settlements were  
17 negotiated in good faith and in the absence of collusion.

18 8. During the prosecution of plaintiffs' case, plaintiffs' counsel incurred  
19 \$574,832.08 in unreimbursed costs and expenses, which include costs for expert  
20 witnesses, discovery and deposition expenses, and other expenses that the Court  
21 finds to be reasonable and necessary to the prosecution of the litigation.

22 9. The Preliminary Settlement Order required any objectors to object to  
23 plaintiffs' fee and cost applications by October 25, 2013. On October 23, 2013,  
24 Said Nedloun and the Estate of Mary Kathryn Bizjak filed an objection to the  
25 settlement and fee and cost application. (Doc. 635.) Ki Ja Chung, Joo Young Jin,  
26 Tae Bong Nam, Joo Jin, Hyun Tae Kim, Ki Myung Chung, Myung Suk Joo, Jane  
27 Doe Joo, Jing Young Kim, Hwan Hang Hur, Moon Ho Kim, and Jane Doe Kim  
28

1 filed and objection to the fee application on October 25, 2013. (Doc. 639.) And on  
2 the same day, Stuart Johnson objected to the proposed settlement and fee and cost  
3 application. (Doc. 640.).

4 10. The Court finds and concludes that objections to the fee and cost  
5 application submitted by the objectors are without any merit and should be  
6 overruled because the objectors lack standing to object for the reasons set forth in  
7 its Order Striking Objections for Failure to Show Class Memberships (Doc. 684),  
8 filed on December 6, 2013, and because the fees and costs awarded pursuant to this  
9 order are fair, appropriate and reasonable.

10 11. The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded pursuant to this order shall  
11 be allocated by Settlement Class Counsel among other plaintiffs' counsel in a  
12 manner that Settlement Class Counsel in good faith believes reflects the  
13 contributions of plaintiffs' counsel to the prosecution and settlement of the claims  
14 in this litigation. Upon petition by any plaintiffs' counsel, Settlement Class  
15 Counsel's allocation to such counsel will be reviewed by the Court under an abuse-  
16 of-discretion standard. The Court approves this allocation plan, because  
17 Settlement Class Counsel are the most familiar with the nature and amount of work  
18 done by other plaintiffs' counsel and the contributions they made to prosecution  
19 and settlement of this action.

20 12. The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded pursuant to this order (the  
21 "Fee and Expense Award") may, in the discretion of Settlement Class Counsel, be  
22 paid from the Settlement Funds upon the instruction of Settlement Class Counsel  
23 within five court days after the entry of this order. If any portion of the Fee and  
24 Expense Award is disbursed prior to the Effective Date, or in the event the  
25 settlement is reversed on appeal or any portion of the Fee & Expense Award is  
26 vacated, reversed or reduced by the Court or on appeal, any plaintiffs' counsel who  
27 received payment of any portion of the Fee and Expense Award that is subject to  
28

1 elimination or reduction shall within ten court days after the applicable order is  
2 entered by the Court, refund to the Settlement Funds the full amount of the Fee and  
3 Expense Award previously paid to such counsel, or, if the Fee & Expense Award is  
4 reduced, a proportion of such full amount which shall be equal to the proportion of  
5 the reduced Fee & Expense Award to the original award. Any plaintiffs' counsel  
6 receiving any portion of the Fee & Expense Award prior to the Effective Date or  
7 the finality of this order shall expressly submit to the jurisdiction of the Court and  
8 guarantee in writing repayment to the Settlement Funds prior to the disbursement  
9 of any portion of the Fee and Expense Award to such counsel. The obligation to  
10 make such repayment shall be the sole responsibility of the counsel who received  
11 such payment and shall be limited to the amount received by such counsel. The  
12 obligation shall be enforceable by the Court on the motion of Settlement Class  
13 Counsel or defendant Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. The Court shall retain continuing  
14 jurisdiction over the Settlement Funds, the parties, and plaintiffs' counsel for  
15 purposes of enforcing this order.

16  
17  
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19  
20 Dated: December 23, 2013



21  
22 S. James Otero  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 Submitted By:

2 JEFF S. WESTERMAN  
3 WESTERMAN LAW CORP.

4 SUSAN G. KUPFER  
5 GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

6 MARC M. SELTZER  
7 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

8 By /s/ Marc M. Seltzer  
9 Marc M. Seltzer  
10 Plaintiffs' Settlement Class Counsel  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28